



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/486,225	05/22/2000		YITZHAK BIRK	P-1311-US	3527
24505	7590	09/08/2006		EXAMINER	
DANIEL J	SWIRSK	Υ	RAMAN, USHA		
55 REUVEN ST. BEIT SHEMESH, 99544				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISRAEL				2623	
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/486,225	BIRK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Usha Raman	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	nuary 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmont(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) N Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-12-06	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed January 12th 2006 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because:

NPL Zubrzycki fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2)(ii) which requires a legible copy of the publication to be provided.

Foreign patents (DE 29618003, DE 4323144 DE 4317388) fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3)

It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed January 9th, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Yiu merely discloses the presence of "wireless links" and does disclose antennas at all. Applicant continues to argues that, "Yiu does not disclose the need for any particular type of antenna and certainly not for as complex an antenna as a multi polarization antenna". The examiner respectfully disagrees. While Yiu does details on the types of antenna used in the invention.

Art Unit: 2623

Yiu does disclose the use of antennas for transmission of RF signals from one room to another as indicated in figures 1, 2, 4-5. While Yiu does not use the word "antenna" to describe the wireless transceivers, the said transceivers are in fact antennas by their functionality of receiving/transmitting RF signals within a building. Furthermore, the American Heritage College Dictionary, 4th edition defines an antenna as, "an apparatus for sending or receiving electromagnetic waves". As one of ordinary skill in the art is well aware, RF signal is a signal whose frequency lies in the radio frequency (RF) portion electromagnetic spectrum, and hence an RF signal is in fact an electromagnetic signal. Therefore Yiu's "transceivers" that transmit/receive the RF signals over the wireless links are in fact antennas.

Applicant's arguments stating that, "there is no teaching in Koller to indicate the usefulness of his type of antenna to an indoor environment" have been noted. Examiner asserts that an antenna such as described by Koller is advantageous in an indoor as well as an outdoor environment for transmission of RF signals. Koller's specific advantage comes from obtaining dual circularity (i.e. multiple polarizations) in one composite structure, thereby "spreading the bets" of transmitted signals and increasing reception chances of the signal. As a result, the rejection is maintained. See Koller, column 1, lines 9-15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 4, and 7-9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yiu (US Pat. 6,008,777) in view of Ling et al. (US Pat. 6,172,970) and further in view of Koller (US Pat. 3,569,977).

In regards to claim 1, Yiu discloses a system that provides a wireless connectivity between a personal computer and a television set that are located in different rooms of a house. The system provides output of a computer to a television set via a wireless channel within a building. Note the abstract, and column 1, lines 49-63. The video signal generated by a PC (207) is transmitted to the local PC interface unit (201), which converts the video signals from a standard computer video format to a format suitable for display on an ordinary TV, such as NTSC format. Note column 4, lines 24-36. As is well known in the art, that composite video signal is the waveform that conveys an image in a NTSC signal. The local PC interface unit also comprises circuitry to up-shift the converted video and audio signals to a radio frequency for wireless transmission to a TV interface unit. A transceiver circuitry (243) of the local PC interface transmits the up-converted RF signal to the TV interface unit through a wireless link. Note column 4, lines 46-55.

While Yiu has a receiver unit, it lacks having at least one set of two, differently polarized reception antennas for receiving the transmitted signal and a reception processor connected between the reception antenna unit and the

television set for processing and combining the output of the two transmission systems.

Ling shows an antenna receiver to improve the reception quality of communications in a wireless environment. The antenna receiver comprises first and second antenna diversity branches, for receiving differently polarized signals, then combining and processing means for combining the received signals from the diversity branches and then adapting the processing means (through a controlling means) in accordance with the quality of the output. The processing means measures the received signals and if the signal quality is below a pre-determined threshold value, controls the variable attenuators and the phase shifter in order to search for a better signal reception. Note figure 1 and descriptions in column 2, lines 66-67, column 3, lines 1-15, lines 44-62, column 4, lines 26-54, lines 61-67, and column 5, lines 1-5.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the receiver antenna of Yiu, with a receiver antenna comprising two diversity branches and processing means as taught by Ling in order to improve the reception quality.

The modification of Yiu in view of Ling still lacks a multi-polarization transmission antenna. Koller discloses that the use of a multi-polarization antenna for transmitting signals in different polarization directions. See column 1, lines 68-72. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system by using a multi-polarization

transmission antenna in order to transmit signals in multiple polarization directions thereby increasing the reception quality of the signals being received by the multi-diversity receiver.

In regards to claim 4, the modified system comprises a multi-diversity receiver comprising two antennas, such that they meet the spatial or polarization diversity requirements (un-correlated in a multi-path environment), i.e. the antennas have to be orthogonal. Note Ling: column 4, lines 34-40. Such an antenna is a multi-polarization antenna.

In regards to claim 7, the reception processor of the above-modified system comprises an antenna-processing unit per set of reception antennas. The received signals are processed by the RF attenuator and phase shifter and then combined. The combined signal is then down-converted at the front end RF circuit, whose output is sent to a demodulator and a base-band processing unit, which in turn controls the relative attenuation and relative phase shift based on quality of the signal. The multi-diversity base-band processor of Ling therefore has both quality feedback unit and the controller since it measures the output signal quality and controls the relative attenuation and phase shift accordingly and the front end RF circuit has the down-converter. Note figure 1 and descriptions in column 4, lines 40-49, and line 67 - column 5, line 5 of Ling.

In regards to claim 8, the modified system comprises an antenna processing units with two antenna processing subunits (see Ling: fig. 1, Ant1 and

Ant2), and a combiner (see Ling: fig. 1) for combining the output of the antenna processing subunits (see Ling: column 3, lines 56-59, column 4, lines 40-49).

In regards to claim 9, the modified system comprises an antenna processing unit with two antenna processing subunits (see Ling: fig. 1, antenna diversity branches ANT1 and ANT2) and a selector for selecting one of the outputs of one of the outputs of said antenna processing subunits (see Ling: column 6, lines 56-67 and column 7, 1-5).

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yiu (US Pat. 6,008,777) in view of Ling et al. (US Pat. 6,172,970) and Koller (US Pat. 3,569,977) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hudson (US Pat. 5,818,517).

In regards to claim 2, the modified system of Yiu in view of Ling and Koller measures the quality of the signal and adapts the processing when the quality is below a predetermined threshold. However, the system lacks measuring the quality during non-image periods.

Hudson teaches that in order to detect or measure quality (signal to noise ratio) of a TV signal, there are times within the format at which the known waveforms are transmitted, and any deviations from them are known to be indicative of the quality of the signal. Hudson suggests that the most convenient portion to measure the signal to noise ratio in is in the VBI (i.e. a non-image period) of the NTSC signal. Note column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6, lines 1-12.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to further modify the system of Yiu in view of Ling with teachings of Hudson by measuring the deviation in a quality of the video signal during the vertical blanking interval. The motivation would be to measure the signal quality in a period or interval, where it would be the most convenient to detect the deviation, as suggested by Hudson.

6. Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yiu (US Pat. 6,008,777) in view of Ling et al. (US Pat. 6,172,970) and Koller (US Pat. 3,569,977) as applied to claims 7 above, and further in view of Bergins (US Pre Grant Pub. 20030186706) et al.

In regards to claim 12, the modified system of Yiu in view of Ling and Koller does not have input units in the quality feedback unit for receiving quality definition from a user.

Bergins teaches that a predefined threshold value to compare the RF signal strength with can be predefined or entered by the user, allowing user to enter the quality definition. Note paragraph 44 in page 3 of Bergins.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the system of Yiu in view of Ling with teachings of Bergins by allowing user to define the threshold value, in order to allow user to set his/her own quality criteria for receiving the signals.

7. Claims 13, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yiu (US Pat. 6,008,777) in view of Ling et al. (US Pat. 6,172,970).

Art Unit: 2623

In regards to claim 13, Yiu discloses a system that provides a wireless connectivity between a personal computer and a television set that are located in different rooms of a house. The system provides output of a computer to a television set via a wireless channel within a building. Note the abstract, and column 1, lines 49-63. The video signal generated by a PC (207) is transmitted to the local PC interface unit (201), which converts the video signals from a standard computer video format to a format suitable for display on an ordinary TV, such as NTSC format. Note column 4, lines 24-36. As is well known in the art, that composite video signal is the waveform that conveys an image in a NTSC signal. The local PC interface unit also comprises circuitry to up-shift the converted video and audio signals to a radio frequency for wireless transmission to a TV interface unit. A transceiver circuitry (243) of the local PC interface transmits the up-converted RF signal to the TV interface unit through a wireless link. Note column 4, lines 46-55.

In regards to claim 15, the reception processor of the above-modified system comprises an antenna-processing unit per set of reception antennas.

The received signals are processed by the RF attenuator and phase shifter and then combined. The combined signal is then down-converted at the front end RF circuit, whose output is sent to a demodulator and a base-band processing unit, which in turn controls the relative attenuation and relative phase shift based on quality of the signal. The multi-diversity base-band processor of Ling therefore has both quality feedback unit and the controller since it measures the output

signal quality and controls the relative attenuation and phase shift accordingly and the front end RF circuit has the down-converter. Note figure 1 and descriptions in column 4, lines 40-49, and line 67 - column 5, line 5 of Ling.

In regards to claim 16, the modified system comprises an antenna processing units with two antenna processing subunits (see Ling: fig. 1, Ant1 and Ant2), and a combiner (see Ling: fig. 1) for combining the output of the antenna processing subunits (see Ling: column 3, lines 56-59, column 4, lines 40-49).

In regards to claim 17, the modified system comprises an antenna processing unit with two antenna processing subunits (see Ling: fig. 1, antenna diversity branches ANT1 and ANT2) and a selector for selecting one of the outputs of one of the outputs of said antenna processing subunits (see Ling: column 6, lines 56-67 and column 7, 1-5).

While Yiu has a receiver unit, it lacks having at least one set of two, differently polarized reception antennas for receiving the transmitted signal and a reception processor connected between the reception antenna unit and the television set for processing and combining the output of the two transmission systems.

Ling shows an antenna receiver to improve the reception quality of communications in a wireless environment. The antenna receiver comprises first and second antenna diversity branches, for receiving differently polarized signals, then combining and processing means for combining the received signals from the diversity branches and then adapting the processing means

(through a controlling means) in accordance with the quality of the output. The processing means measures the received signals and if the signal quality is below a pre-determined threshold value, controls the variable attenuators and the phase shifter in order to search for a better signal reception. Note figure 1 and descriptions in column 2, lines 66-67, column 3, lines 1-15, lines 44-62, column 4, lines 26-54, lines 61-67, and column 5, lines 1-5.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the receiver antenna of Yiu, with a receiver antenna comprising two diversity branches and processing means as taught by Ling in order to improve the reception quality.

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yiu (US Pat. 6,008,777) in view of Ling et al. (US Pat. 6,172,970) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Hudson (US Pat. 5,818,517).

In regards to claim 14, the modified system of Yiu in view of Ling measures the quality of the signal and adapts the processing when the quality is below a predetermined threshold. However, the system lacks measuring the quality during non-image periods.

Hudson teaches that in order to detect or measure quality (signal to noise ratio) of a TV signal, there are times within the format at which the known waveforms are transmitted, and any deviations from them are known to be indicative of the quality of the signal. Hudson suggests that the most convenient

Art Unit: 2623

portion to measure the signal to noise ratio in is in the VBI (i.e. a non-image period) of the NTSC signal. Note column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6, lines 1-12.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to further modify the system of Yiu in view of Ling with teachings of Hudson by measuring the deviation in a quality of the video signal during the vertical blanking interval. The motivation would be to measure the signal quality in a period or interval, where it would be the most convenient to detect the deviation, as suggested by Hudson.

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yiu (US Pat. 6,008,777) in view of Ling et al. (US Pat. 6,172,970) as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Bergins (US Pre Grant Pub. 20030186706) et al.

In regards to claim 18, the modified system of Yiu in view of Ling does not have input units in the quality feedback unit for receiving quality definition from a user.

Bergins teaches that a predefined threshold value to compare the RF signal strength with can be predefined or entered by the user, allowing user to enter the quality definition. Note paragraph 44 in page 3 of Bergins.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the system of Yiu in view of Ling with teachings of Bergins by allowing user to define the threshold value, in order to allow user to set his/her own quality criteria for receiving the signals.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2623

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Usha Raman whose telephone number is (571) 272-7380. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

UR

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Zellen